# Insecticidal 1,3-Dithianes and 1,3-Dithiane 1,1-Dioxides<sup>†</sup>

Christopher J. Palmer<sup>\*,†</sup> and John E. Casida

Pesticide Chemistry and Toxicology Laboratory, Department of Entomological Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Replacement of the *tert*-butyl substituent of insecticidal *cis*- and *trans*-5-*tert*-butyl-2-(4-substitutedphenyl)-1,3-dithianes with cyclobutyl or 1-methylcyclopropyl groups affords compounds with equal or increased toxicity to houseflies. The 1,3-dithiane 1-oxides derived by *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid oxidation of the *trans*-dithianes are much less effective; unsynergized, their insecticidal activity is weak due to facile metabolic detoxification. The 1,3-dithiane 1-oxides similarly derived from the *cis*-dithianes generally exhibit a modest but significantly higher level of toxicity. Selective oxidation of the 1,3dithiane 1-oxides with potassium permanganate afforded the corresponding 1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxides, which alone and synergized with piperonyl butoxide are up to 11- and 37-fold more potent, respectively, than their parent dithianes. Housefly LD<sub>50</sub>s for *trans*-2(e)-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxide are 0.36 and 0.0095  $\mu g/g$  alone and with piperonyl butoxide, respectively. It is the most insecticidal analogue in the dithiane series and is equal in potency to (1*R*)-*cis*-permethrin and to the most potent trioxabicyclooctane insecticides.

# INTRODUCTION

1,4-Disubstituted-2,6,7-trioxabicyclo[2.2.2]octanes (TBOs) (1) are a new class of insecticide acting at the GABA-gated chloride channel (Palmer and Casida, 1985, 1987; Casida and Palmer, 1988; Casida et al., 1985). With suitable substituents they can achieve a level of activity comparable to that of the most effective established insecticides acting at other target sites (Palmer and Casida, 1989).



A recent paper suggested the possibility that the 2.6.7trioxabicyclo[2.2.2] octane ring may function as a spacer unit maintaining the 1- and 4-substituents in a linear relationship and investigated related compounds with a single heterocyclic ring (Elliott et al., 1990, 1992). Examination of the 1,3-dioxane ring system (2) with one fewer CH<sub>2</sub>O link led to analogues with only weak insecticidal activity; however, analogues containing the 1,3-dithiane ring (3) were quite potent. Suitable substituents for the 2-position in 1,3-dithianes are the same as those which confer high insecticidal activity in the 1-position of TBOs, i.e., 4-ethynylphenyl, 4-halophenyl, and 3,4-dihalophenyl groups. A tert-butyl group is also required at the 5-position in 1,3-dithianes for significant activity, and this site appears to correspond to the 4-position of the TBOs for which the tert-butyl group is also highly effective. While some dithianes exhibit a fairly high level of toxicity to houseflies, the activity of most dithianes is much less than that of the corresponding TBOs. The most potent dithianes reported are at least 10-fold less active to houseflies than the most potent TBOs. The insecticidal dithianes and the TBOs

act at the same or closely coupled sites in the GABA-gated chloride channel (Deng et al., 1991).

Recent observations concerning structure-activity relationships in the TBOs (1) and 1,3-oxathianes (4) (Palmer et al., 1991a) prompted further investigations in the related 1,3-dithiane area.



The present study describes attempts to improve the insecticidal activity of the 1,3-dithianes by effective replacement of the *tert*-butyl group at C-5 and by oxidation to the corresponding 1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxides (5).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Abbreviations.** Substituents are designated Me, methyl; Pr, propyl; Bu, butyl; Ph, phenyl; *n*, normal; *s*, secondary; *t*, tertiary; *c*, cyclo; e, equatorial; and a, axial.

Nomenclature and Stereochemical Assignments (Figure 1). All of the 5-alkyl-2-(substituted-phenyl)-1,3-dithianes in this study adopt a chair conformation, and the 5-alkyl group is equatorially oriented. When the 2-(substituted-phenyl) group is axial, it is the cis diastereomer, and when it is equatorial, it is the trans diastereomer. Stereochemical assignments for the individual cis and trans diastereomers were made on the basis of their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data with nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) <sup>1</sup>H NMR differential spectroscopy experiments to establish the orientation (axial or equatorial) of the substituent at C-2. [A similar conformational study on related 1,3-oxathianes using NOE 1H NMR differential spectroscopy has been reported (De Lucchi et al., 1985).] Stereochemistry of the 1,3-dithiane 1-oxides (axial or equatorial monosulfoxide) is readily determined from their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra using the "syn-axial effect", a method used routinely for assigning configuration to appropriate pairs of stereoisomeric monosulfoxides (Foster et al., 1968; Carretero et al., 1984; Singer et al., 1987; Romanelli et al., 1988). <sup>13</sup>C NMR can provide further confirmation of sulfoxide orientation (Carey et al., 1978; Samuel et al., 1989).

**Bioassays.** LD<sub>50</sub> values were determined for adult female houseflies (*Musca domestica* L., SCR strain,  $\sim 20$  mg each) held for 24 h at 25 °C after application of the test compound in acetone

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This study was supported in part by National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Grant P01 ES00049. <sup>‡</sup> Present address: ISK Mountain View Research Center,

<sup>1195</sup> W. Fremont Ave., Sunnyvale, CA 94087.



R = t-Bu, c-Bu, 1-Me-c-Pi X = C  $\equiv$  CH, Br, I

Figure 1. Synthesis of 2,5-disubstituted-1,3-dithianes and their 1-oxides and 1,1-dioxides.

solution to the ventrum of the abdomen (Palmer and Casida, 1985). Synergized toxicity was evaluated by using flies pretreated topically with piperonyl butoxide (PB) at  $250 \ \mu g/g 2$  h before the toxicant was administered. Each experiment was repeated on at least three separate days with 10 or 20 flies per group and a dose differential of 2-fold. LD<sub>50</sub> values for the houseflies were based on log dose-probit mortality plots and were reproducible within 1.5-fold.

**Spectroscopy.** Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained at 300 MHz with a Bruker WM-300 spectrometer for samples dissolved in deuteriochloroform. Mass spectrometry (MS) utilized the Hewlett-Packard 5985 system with chemical ionization (CI) (230 eV with methane at 0.8 Torr).

## SYNTHESES

The various dithianes and their oxidation products used in this study were prepared via the general procedure shown in Figure 1. The appropriate diethyl 2-substituted malonate (Palmer et al., 1991b) was reduced to the corresponding 2substituted propane-1,3-diol with lithium aluminum hydride (Eliel and Knoeber, 1968). The diol was converted to its dimethanesulfonate ester (Crossland and Servis, 1970), which upon treatment with benzylmercaptan and sodium hydride in dimethylformamide formed the dibenzyl thioether which was converted to the 2-substituted propane-1,3-dithiol with sodium in liquid ammonia (Corrie et al., 1977). Condensation of the dithiol with the appropriate benzaldehyde in formic acid (Elliott et al., 1990, 1992) formed the 2,5-disubstituted-1,3-dithianes as a mixture of trans 2-equatorial (e) and cis 2-axial (a) diastereomers (ratio  $\sim$ 7:1 e/a). Following chromatographic separation of the diastereomers, oxidation with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (Carey et al., 1976) gave a mixture of 1(e) and 1(a) monosulfoxides. [The trans-dithianes gave sulfoxide ratios  $\sim 24:1$  e/a; the cis-dithianes gave sulfoxide ratios  $\sim 2:13$  e/a. This is consistent with preferential (e)-sulfoxide formation from related 2(e)-phenyl-1,3-dithianes and preference for (a)-sulfoxide formation from 2(a)-phenyl-1,3-dithianes (Carey et al., 1976; Bryan et al., 1979).] The sulfoxide mixtures were separated chromatographically and selectively oxidized to the corresponding dithiane monosulfones with potassium permanganate (Block et al., 1976; Kabzinska and Kawecki, 1989; Khan et al., 1975). Examples of syntheses with compound characterization are given below for the 1-methylcyclopropyl series. The remaining compounds used in this study were prepared according to similar procedures and gave appropriate characterization.

trans- and cis-2-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithianes (26 and 30). To a stirred solution of 4ethynylbenzaldehyde (Austin et al., 1981) (320 mg, 2.4 mmol) dissolved in the minimum amount of formic acid at 0 °C was added 2-(1-methylcyclopropyl)propane-1,3-dithiol (400 mg, 2.4 mmol). After 1 h, the mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified on a silica column. Elution with hexanedichloromethane (9:1 v/v) gave the cis (2-axial) isomer (30) (75 mg, 11%) as white needles: mp 116-117 °C; MS [M + 1]<sup>+</sup> 275; NMR  $\delta$  7.78 and 7.49 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8 Hz, aromatic H), 4.80 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.08 (1 H, s, C=CH), 2.79 (2 H, dd, J = 11, 14 Hz,  $CH_{ax}S \times 2$ ), 2.57 (2 H, dd, J = 3, 14 Hz,  $CH_{eq}S \times 2$ ), 1.27  $[1 \text{ H}, \text{ tt}, J = 3, 11 \text{ Hz}, CH(CH_2)_2], 0.80 (3 \text{ H}, \text{ s}, CH_3), 0.36-0.24$ (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). This was followed by the trans (2-equatorial) isomer (26) (530 mg, 79%) as white needles: mp 149-152 °C; MS  $[M + 1]^+ 275$ ; NMR  $\delta$  7.42 and 7.40 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5Hz, aromatic H), 5.10 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.06 (2 H, dd, J = 11.5, 14Hz,  $CH_{ar}S \times 2$ ), 3.06 (1 H, s,  $C \equiv CH$ ), 2.84 (2 H, dd, J = 2.5, 14 Hz,  $CH_{eq}S \times 2$ ), 1.17 [1 H, tt, J = 2.5, 11.5 Hz,  $CH(CH_2)_2$ ], 0.95 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.40-0.31 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

2(a)-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3dithiane 1-Oxides (31 and 32). A solution of cis-2(a)-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane (320 mg, 1.16 mmol) and m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (250 mg, 1.16 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was washed with pH 8.0 sodium phosphate buffer, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated to leave a residue which was purified on a silica column. Careful elution with hexane-acetone 9:1 (v/v) gave the 1(e) sulfoxide (31) (40 mg, 12%) as yellow needles: mp 105-106 °C, MS [M + 1]<sup>+</sup> 291; NMR  $\delta$  7.70 and 7.55 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic H), 5.01 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.12 (1 H, s, C=CH), 2.91 (1 H, dd, J = 12, 14 Hz,  $CH_{ax}S$ ), 2.78 (1 H, dq, J = 2, 12.5 Hz,  $CH_{eq}SO$ ), 2.57  $(1 \text{ H}, \text{t}, J = 12.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_{ax}\text{SO}), 2.40 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ddd}, J = 1.5, 3.5, 14)$ Hz,  $CH_{eq}S$ ), 1.64 [1 H, m, J = 2, 3.5, 12 Hz,  $CH(CH_2)_2$ ], 0.83 (3) H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.38-0.28 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). This was followed by the 1(a) sulfoxide (32) (260 mg, 77%) as yellow needles: mp 163-164 °C, MS [M + 1]<sup>+</sup> 291; NMR δ 7.53 (4 H, s, aromatic H), 4.66 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.11 (1 H, s, C=CH), 2.92 (1 H, dd, J = 10.5, 13.5 Hz,  $CH_{ax}S$ ), 2.79–2.68 (2 H, m,  $CH_{eq}SO$  and  $CH_{eq}S$ ), 2.62 (1 H, dd, J = 10.5, 14 Hz,  $CH_{ax}SO$ ), 1.86 [1 H, m, J = 3, 3.5, 10.5 Hz,  $CH(CH_2)_2$ ], 0.88 (3 H, s,  $CH_3$ ), 0.50–0.24 (4 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2$ ).

2(e)-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3dithiane 1-Oxides (27 and 28). Using the above procedure, oxidation of trans-2(e)-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane (630 mg, 2.3 mmol) with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (490 mg, 2.3 mmol) followed by purification on silica and elution with dichloromethane gave the 1(a) sulfoxide (28) (25 mg, 4%) as yellow needles: mp 207-208 °C; MS [M + 1]+ 291; NMR  $\delta$  7.45 and 7.35 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic H), 4.69 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.20 (1 H, dt, J = 2, 14 Hz, CH<sub>eq</sub>SO),  $3.08 (1 \text{ H}, \text{s}, \text{C}=\text{CH}), 3.07 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dd}, J = 12, 14 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_{ax}\text{S}), 2.72-$ 2.63 (2 H, m, CHeqS and CHarSO), 1.83 [1 H, tt, J = 2.5, 12 Hz, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 0.95 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.50-0.29 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). This was followed by the 1(e) sulfoxide (27) (600 mg, 90%) as yellow needles: mp 211-212 °C, MS  $[M + 1]^+$  291; NMR  $\delta$  7.49 and 7.34 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic H), 4.46 (1 H, s, CHAr),  $3.54 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dt}, J = 2, 12.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_{eq}\text{SO}), 3.09 (1 \text{ H}, \text{s}, \text{C}=\text{CH}), 2.90$  $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ dd}, J = 11.5, 14 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_{ar}\text{S}), 2.80 (1 \text{ H}, \text{t}, J = 12.5 \text{ Hz},$  $CH_{ar}SO$ ), 2.65 (1 H, ddd, J = 2, 3, 14 Hz,  $CH_{eq}S$ ), 1.61 [1 H, m, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.01 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.46–0.38 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

cis-2(a)-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane 1,1-Dioxide (33). To a stirred solution of 2(a)-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane 1oxide (200 mg, 0.7 mmol) in dry acetone (50 mL) containing anhydrous magnesium sulfate (0.8 g) was added potassium permanganate (350 mg, 2.2 mmol). After 2 h, the solution turned colorless and the mixture was filtered through a short Florisil column; the filtrate was evaporated to leave a residue which was purified on a silica column. Elution with dichloromethane gave the monosulfone (33) (90 mg, 43%) as yellow crystals: mp 186-187 °C; MS [M + 1] + 307; NMR & 7.58 and 7.51 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic H), 4.94 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.26 (1 H, dd, J = 12.5, 14 Hz, CH<sub>ar</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>), 3.13 (1 H, s, C=CH), 3.08 (1 H, m, J = 14 Hz,  $CH_{eq}SO_2$ ), 2.99 (1 H, dd, J = 11, 13.5 Hz,  $CH_{ax}S$ ), 2.88  $(1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, J = 4.5, 13.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_{eq}\text{S}), 1.94 [1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, 4.5, 11, 12.5 \text{ Hz}]$ CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 0.94 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.47–0.35 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

trans-2(e)-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane 1,1-Dioxide (29). Using the above procedure, oxidation of 2(e)-(4-ethynylphenyl)-5(e)-(1-methylcyclopropyl)-1,3-dithiane 1-oxide (430 mg, 1.5 mmol) gave the monosulfone (29) (200 mg, 44%) as yellow crystals: mp 216-217 °C; MS [M + 1]<sup>+</sup> 307; NMR  $\delta$  7.50 and 7.45 (each 2 H, AA'BB', J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic H), 5.00 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.32 (1 H, dt, J = 8.6 Hz, aromatic H), 5.00 (1 H, s, CHAr), 3.32 (1 H, dt, J = 2, 14 Hz, CH<sub>eq</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>), 3.14 (1 H, dd, J = 12.5, 14 Hz, CH<sub>ax</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>), 3.11 (1 H, d, J = 2, 11.5, 12.5 Hz, CH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 0.99 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.52-0.32 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

#### **RESULTS (TABLE I)**

1,3-Dithianes. In the dithianes the trans isomer (with the 2-substituent equatorial) is generally more toxic to houseflies than the corresponding cis isomer (2-substituent axial); i.e., trans-dithianes 6, 14, 18, 26, and 34 are more effective than their respective cis-dithianes 10, 16, 22, 30, and 36. This is more pronounced in the 2-(4-ethynylphenyl) compounds. Like the 5-t-Bu-dithianes, the 5-c-Bu- and 5-(1-Me-c-Pr) analogues are potent insecticides. trans-(1-Me-c-Pr)-dithiane (26) is 2-fold more potent than the trans-t-Bu-dithiane (18) [the most potent previously reported dithiane (Elliott et al., 1990, 1992)], both alone and with PB. Generally the dithianes exhibit a fairly low factor of synergism, especially the 2-(4-halophenyl) compounds.

1,3-Dithiane 1-Oxides (Monosulfoxides). In the *trans*-dithiane series both the 1(e) and 1(a) monosulfoxides are much less toxic to houseflies unsynergized than their unoxidized parent dithianes; i.e., sulfoxides 7 and 8, 19 and 20, and 27 and 28 are less potent than dithianes 6, 18, and 26, respectively. However, with PB these sulfoxides are typically 2-4-fold more potent than their parent dithianes. Both the 1(e) and 1(a) monosulfoxides of the

Table I. Toxicity to Houseflies Alone and with Piperonyl Butoxide of 5(e)-Alkyl-2(e)- and 2(a)-(4-Substitutedphenyl)-1,3-dithianes and Their 1(e) and 1(a) Oxides and 1,1-Dioxides

|                 | R <sub>5</sub> S<br>S |               |                |                |  |        |            |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|--------|------------|
|                 | (O) <sub>n</sub>      |               |                |                | $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ ,ª $\mu\mathrm{g}/\mathrm{g}$ |        |            |
| no.             | $R_5$                 | X             | 2 <sup>b</sup> | nc             | alone  | PB     | fac of syn |
| 6 <sup>d</sup>  | t-Bu                  | Br            | е              | 0              | 6.0  | 1.2    | 5.0        |
| 7               |                       |               | е              | $1_{e}$        | 18   | 0.6    | 30         |
| 8               |                       |               | е              | 1 <sub>a</sub> | 10   | 0.15   | 67         |
| 9               |                       |               | е              | 2              | 1.7  | 0.06   | 28         |
| 10 <sup>d</sup> | t-Bu                  | Br            | a              | 0              | 7.0  | 2.0    | 3.5        |
| 11              |                       |               | а              | 1,             | 1.0  | 0.27   | 3.7        |
| 12              |                       |               | a              | 1.             | 1.0  | 0.23   | 4.3        |
| 13              |                       |               | a              | $2^{-}$        | 2.3  | 0.1    | 23         |
| 14 <sup>d</sup> | t-Bu                  | I             | е              | 0              | 2.3  | 1.5    | 1.5        |
| 15              |                       |               | е              | 2              | 5.0  | 0.068  | 74         |
| 16              | t-Bu                  | I             | a              | 0              | 3.0  | 2.1    | 1.4        |
| 17              |                       |               | а              | 2              | 0.55   | 0.1    | 5.5        |
| 18 <sup>d</sup> | t-Bu                  | C≡CH          | е              | 0              | 0.85   | 0.13   | 6.5        |
| 19              |                       |               | е              | $1_e$          | 50   | 0.035  | 1429       |
| 20              |                       |               | е              | $1_a$          | 11   | 0.050  | 220        |
| 21              |                       |               | е              | 2              | 0.80   | 0.0075 | 107        |
| 22              | t-Bu                  | C≡CH          | a              | 0              | 6.0  | 0.13   | 46         |
| 23              |                       |               | а              | $1_{e}$        | 1.9  | 0.025  | 76         |
| 24              |                       |               | а              | $1_a$          | 1.8  | 0.065  | 28         |
| 25              |                       |               | а              | 2              | 1.2  | 0.012  | 100        |
| 26              | 1-Me-c-Pr             | C = CH        | е              | 0              | 0.45   | 0.065  | 6.9        |
| 27              |                       |               | е              | $1_{e}$        | 6.5  | 0.050  | 130        |
| 28              |                       |               | е              | 1 <sub>a</sub> | 7.0  | 0.032  | 219        |
| 29              |                       |               | е              | 2              | 0.36   | 0.0095 | 38         |
| 30              | 1-Me-c-Pr             | C <b>≕</b> CH | а              | 0              | 3.4  | 0.16   | 21         |
| 31              |                       |               | а              | $1_{e}$        | 1.9  | 0.070  | 27         |
| 32              |                       |               | а              | 1 <sub>a</sub> | 1.8  | 0.065  | 28         |
| 33              |                       |               | а              | 2              | 1.3  | 0.013  | 100        |
| 34              | c-Bu                  | C≡CH          | е              | 0              | 1.1  | 0.15   | 7.3        |
| 35              |                       |               | е              | 2              | 1.6  | 0.011  | 145        |
| 36              | c-Bu                  | C≡CH          | а              | 0              | 9.5  | 1.1    | 8.6        |
| 37              |                       |               | a              | 2              | 0.90   | 0.030  | 30         |

<sup>a</sup> LD<sub>50</sub> values for established insecticides and selected TBOs (alone and with PB) are 0.21 and 0.012  $\mu$ g/g for (1*R*)-*cis*-permethrin, 1.3 and 0.43  $\mu$ g/g for parathion, 3.5 and 0.83  $\mu$ g/g for *t*-BuC(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>CPh-4-Br, 0.090 and 0.011  $\mu$ g/g for *t*-BuC(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>CPh-4-C=CH, 0.63 and 0.029  $\mu$ g/g for 1-Me-*c*-PrC(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>CPh-4-C=CH, and 0.56 and 0.015  $\mu$ g/g for *c*-BuC(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>CPh-4-C=CH, respectively (Palmer and Casida, 1989; Palmer et al., 1991b). <sup>b</sup> Stereochemistry of 2-substituent, equatorial (e) or axial (a) substituted phenyl group. <sup>c</sup> Entries denote parent dithiane (*n* = 0), equatorial sulfoxide (*n* = 1<sub>e</sub>), axial sulfoxide (*n* = 1<sub>a</sub>), and monosulfone (*n* = 2). <sup>d</sup> Compounds first reported by Elliott et al. (1990, 1992).

cis-dithiane series are more toxic to houseflies alone and with PB than their parent dithianes, typically by 2-8fold, i.e., sulfoxides 11 and 12, 23 and 24, and 31 and 32 are more toxic than their parent dithianes 10, 22, and 30, respectively. Generally for each pair of monosulfoxides there is very little difference in potency between the 1axial or 1-equatorial stereoisomer in both cis- and transdithiane series. With the exception of the two cis-(4bromophenyl) analogues, the dithiane monosulfoxides exhibit a very high factor of synergism, particularly those of the trans-(4-ethynylphenyl) series.

1,3-Dithiane 1,1-Dioxides (Monosulfones). In the *trans*-dithiane series the monosulfones unsynergized are up to 3.5-fold more toxic to houseflies than their parent dithianes. However, synergized with PB there is a dramatic increase in activity; i.e., monosulfones 9, 15, 21, 29, and 35 are 7-22-fold more potent than their parent dithianes 6, 14, 18, 26, and 34, respectively. Similarly, the monosulfones of the *cis*-dithiane series are also much more toxic to houseflies than their parent dithianes, alone by

3-11-fold and with PB by 11-37-fold; i.e., sulfones 13, 17, 25, 33, and 37 are more toxic than their parent dithianes 10, 16, 22, 30, and 36, respectively. Many of the dithiane monosulfones also exhibit a fairly high factor of synergism.

# DISCUSSION

Initial studies concerning the insecticidal activity of the 2.5-disubstituted 1.3-dithianes concluded that a tert-butyl group in the 5-position is essential for high potency. The wide range of bridgehead (4-position) substituents conferring very high activity in the TBOs (i.e., n-alkyl, branched alkyl, cycloalkyl, and aryl) does not appear to extend to the 5-position of the dithianes. For example. compounds with *n*-butyl, *s*-butyl, cyclohexyl, or phenyl are only weakly active in the dithiane series (Elliott et al., 1990, 1992), and yet the corresponding TBOs are very potent insecticides and are of comparable activity to the 4-t-Bu-TBO (Palmer et al., 1991b). The more conformationally flexible nature of the dithiane ring in these analogues (compared to the rigid TBO cage structure) may be responsible for this, since the *tert*-butyl substituent, due to its steric bulk, tends to lock the dithiane conformation by its preference for an equatorial orientation (Eliel and Hutchins, 1969). In this study cyclobutyl and 1-methylcyclopropyl substituents were examined as possible replacements for tert-butyl at the 5-position. In the TBOs these two groups are very effective (Palmer et al., 1991b), and like *tert*-butyl they would also be expected to prefer the equatorial orientation in dithianes due to their steric size and shape. The results in Table I demonstrate that cyclobutyl and especially 1-methylcyclopropyl are very effective replacement groups for the 5-tert-butyl substituent, leading to a significant increase in activity in the dithiane series.

Initial investigations concerning the insecticidal activity of the closely related 1,3-oxathianes (4) and their Soxidation products (Palmer et al., 1991a) indicated that the oxathiane sulfones were more potent than their unoxidized oxathiane parent compounds. This suggested that the 1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxides (monosulfones) (5) may likewise lead to increased insecticidal potency in the dithiane series. Accordingly, examination of both the 1,3dithiane 1.1-dioxides and their 1.3-dithiane 1-oxide (monosulfoxide) precursors led to compounds with very interesting levels of activity (Table I). The potency of the 1,3dithiane 1-oxides (monosulfoxides) is generally rather disappointing, especially in the trans-dithiane series. These compounds are effective against houseflies only in the presence of PB, the very high factor of synergism indicating that their toxicity is severely limited by metabolic oxidative detoxification. While the insecticidal activity exhibited by the monosulfoxides of the *cis*-dithiane series is a significant improvement over that of their unoxidized cisdithiane parent compounds, they are still much less active than the most potent TBOs. In contrast, the 1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxides (monosulfones) of both the cis and trans series are much more potent than their unoxidized parent dithianes and in some cases exhibit greater insecticidal activity than their corresponding analogues in the TBO series. The most effective compounds in this study are 1,3-dithiane 1,1-dioxides (monosulfones) 21 and 29; unsynergized, their activity approaches, and synergized with PB even slightly exceeds, that exhibited by the most potent TBOs and (1R)-cis-permethrin.

In conclusion, this study shows that (1) the 5-*tert*-butyl substituent of insecticidal 1,3-dithianes is not essential for activity and can be replaced with alternative groups to give analogues with equal or increased potency and (2)

while in some cases the 1,3-dithiane ring is a suitable spacer unit replacement for the bicyclic ring of insecticidal 1,4disubstituted-2,6,7-trioxabicyclo[2.2.2]octanes, the 1,3dithiane 1,1-dioxide is a far more effective replacement.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank our laboratory colleagues Weiching Wang and Brian Brannigan for performing the bioassays and Mark Sanders for the mass spectral determinations.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Austin, W. B.; Bilow, N.; Kelleghan, W. J.; Lau, K. S. Y. Facile Synthesis of Ethynylated Benzoic Acid Derivatives and Aromatic Compounds via Ethynyltrimethylsilane. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2280-2286.
- Block, E.; Corey, E. R.; Penn, R. E.; Renken, T. L.; Sherwin, P. F. 1,3-Dithietane. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5715–5717.
- Bryan, R. F.; Carey, F. A.; Miller, R. W. Crystal Structure of the Syn-Diaxial Conformer of 2,2-Diphenyl-1,3-dithiane cis-1,3-Dioxide. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1540-1543.
- Carey, F. A.; Dailey, O. D.; Hernandez, O.; Tucker, J. R. Stereoselective Synthesis of cis- and trans-2-Substituted 1,3-Dithiane 1-Oxides. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3975-3978.
- Carey, F. A.; Dailey, O. D.; Hutton, W. C. Structural Dependence of Carbon-13 Chemical Shifts in Oxides of 1,3-Dithiane. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 96-101.
- Carretero, J. C.; Garcia Ruano, J. L.; Rodriguez, J. H. Stereospecific Syntheses of 2,3-Dimethyl-1,4-Oxathian S-Oxides. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 3029-3032.
- Casida, J. E.; Palmer, C. J. 2,6,7-trioxabicyclo[2.2.2]octanes: Chemistry, Toxicology and Action at the GABA-gated Chloride Channel. In Chloride Channels and Their Modulation by Neurotransmitters and Drugs; Biggio, G., Costa, E., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1988; pp 109-123.
- Casida, J. E.; Palmer, C. J.; Cole, L. M. Bicycloorthocarboxylate Convulsants. Potent GABA<sub>A</sub> Receptor Antagonists. Mol. Pharmacol. 1985, 28, 246-253.
- Corrie, J. E. T.; Hlubucek, J. R.; Lowe, G. Synthesis of a Cephalosporin Analogue. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 1421-1425.
- Crossland, R. K.; Servis, K. A. A Facile Synthesis of Methanesulfonate Esters. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3195-3196.
- De Lucchi, O.; Lucchini, V.; Marchioro, C.; Modena, G. Chiral 1,3-Oxathianes via Stereoselective Addition-Cyclization of Hydroxythiols to Electron-Poor Acetylenes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, 26, 4539-4542.
- Deng, Y.; Palmer, C. J.; Casida, J. E. Housefly Brain GABA-Gated Chloride Channel: Target for Multiple Classes of Insecticides. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 1991, 41, 60-65.
- Eliel, E. L.; Hutchins, R. O. Conformational Analysis. XVIII. 1,3-Dithianes. Conformational Preferences of Alkyl Substituents and the Chair-Boat Energy Difference. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2703-2715.
- Eliel, E. L.; Knoeber, M. C., Sr. Conformational Analysis. XVI. 1,3-Dioxanes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 3444-3458.
- Elliott, M. E.; Pulman, D. A.; Casida, J. E. 2,5-Disubstituted-1,3-dithianes. A New Group of Insecticides. Presented at the 7th International Congress on Pesticide Chemistry, Hamburg, Germany, August 1990; Abstract 01A-17.
- Elliott, M. E.; Pulman, D. A.; Larkin, J. P.; Casida, J. E. Insecticidal 1,3-dithianes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1992, 40, 147– 151.
- Foster, A. B.; Inch, T. D.; Qadir, M. H.; Webber, J. M. Assignment of Sulphoxide Configuration by the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Method. Chem. Commun. 1968, 1086-1089.
- Kabzinska, K.; Kawecki, R. The Synthesis and Pyrolysis of 2-Substituted 1,3-Dithiane 1,1-Dioxide. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 1989, 37, 117-121.
- Khan, S. A.; Lambert, J. B.; Hernandez, O.; Carey, F. A. Oxides of 1,3-Dithiane and 1,3,5-Trithiane. The Diamagnetic Anisotropy of Carbon-Sulphur Bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1468-1473.

- Palmer, C. J.; Casida, J. E. 1,4-Disubstituted 2,6,7-trioxabicyclo-[2.2.2]octanes: A New Class of Insecticides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1985, 33, 976-980.
- Palmer, C. J.; Casida, J. E. Bicycloorthocarboxylates: Potent Insecticides Acting at the GABA-Regulated Chloride Ionophore. In Sites of Action for Neurotoxic Pesticides; Hollingworth, R. M., Green, M. G., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 356; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1987; pp 71-82.
- Palmer, C. J.; Casida, J. E. 1-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-2,6,7-trioxabicyclo[2.2.2]octanes: A New Order of Potency for Insecticides Acting at the GABA-Gated Chloride Channel. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1989, 37, 213-216.
- Palmer, C. J.; Casida, J. E.; Larkin, J. P. Alkynylphenylsubstituted 1,3-oxathione compounds with pesticidal activity. U.S. Patent 5,061,726, 1991a.

- Palmer, C. J.; Cole, L. M.; Larkin, J. P.; Smith, I. H.; Casida, J. E. 1-(4-Ethynylphenyl)-4-substituted-2,6,7-trioxabicyclo-[2.2.2]octanes: Effect of 4-Substituent on Toxicity to House-flies and Mice and Potency at the GABA-Gated Chloride Channel. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1991b, 39, 1329-1334.
- Romanelli, M. N.; Teodori, E.; Gualtieri, F.; Angeli, P.; Brasili, L. Enantioselectivity of Muscarinic Antagonists. 2,2-Dicyclohexyl-5-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-1,3-oxathiolane Methiodides and Related 3-Oxides. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1698-1702.
- Samuel, O.; Ronan, B.; Kagan, H. B. Asymmetric Oxidation of some 1,3-Dithianes in Presence of Chiral Titanium Complexes. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 370, 43-50.
- Singer, G.; Heusinger, G.; Mosandl, A.; Burschka, C. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1987, 451-453.

Received for review July 1, 1991. Accepted December 2, 1991.